



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	Enrique Musoll Adolfo M. Nemirovsky Mario D. Nemirovsky Narendra Sankar	Examiner:	Shane Gerstl
Docket:	MIPS.0170-00-US	Art Unit:	2183
Customer No.:	23669		
Serial No.:	09/706154		
Filing Date:	11/03/00		
Title:	FETCH AND DISPATCH DISASSOCIATION APPARATUS FOR MULTI-STREAMING PROCESSORS		

SECOND RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT (37 CFR 1.121)

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Introductory Comments

In response to the Second Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated April 6, 2005, with a statutory period of response set in the first Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment to expire January 21, 2005, applicant responds as follow. A Petition for Extension of time (four months) is attached hereto which if granted will extend the period of response to May 21, 2005.

The examiner indicated that the amended claims must make changes based on the last compliant version of the claims, which in this case is the set filed on 1/09/04.

The examiner further indicated that line 11 of currently amended claim 1 is improper since it strikes through the word "instruction" and adds the word "execution" when the word "execution" was previously in the claims. Applicant has corrected this line in the attached revision of Amendment B.

The examiner further indicated that line 5 of claim 3 deletes the phrase "to the" twice consecutively when the previous claims already deleted an instance of this phrase. Applicant has corrected this in claim 3 in the attached revision of Amendment B.

The examiner further indicated that line 10 of claim 7 attempts to delete the phrase "instruction source in the dispatch stage", but the previous set of claims read "instruction source and the dispatch stage" and thus an improper deletion of the word "in" and an omission of the word "and" is made. Applicant has corrected this line in the attached revision of Amendment B.


The examiner further indicated that when striking through a "7" such as in claim 10, since some fonts display "7" with a slash through it, double brackets should be used. Applicant has corrected this line in the attached revision of Amendment B.

Applicant has further reviewed amendments to all claims and insure clarity of all punctuation issues.

Applicant is therefore submitting herewith a substitute of the entire section entitled Amendment to the Claims.

Applicant earnestly requests the examiner to telephone him at the direct dial number printed below if the examiner has any questions or suggestions concerning the application.

Respectfully submitted,



James W. Huffman
Huffman Law Group, P.C.
Registration No. 35,549
Customer No. 23669
1832 N. Cascade Ave.
Colorado Springs, CO 80907
719.475.7103
719.623.0141 fax
jim@huffmanlaw.net

Date: 4-29-05

"EXPRESS MAIL" mailing label number EO 004 626 285 US. Date of Deposit
4/29/05. I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the U.S.
Postal Service Express Mail Post Office to Addressee Service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on
the date shown above and is addressed to the U.S. Commissioner of Patents and
Trademarks, Alexandria, VA, 22313.
By: Patricia Merriam